

REMARKS

Reconsideration and reexamination of the application are requested. Claim 1 is amended. The amendments are supported by the original disclosure, for example page 7, lines 4-5 and 12-14; page 8, lines 11-13; page 9, lines 15-18; and page 17, lines 12-14. No new matter has been added. Claims 1-2 and 5-7 remain pending.

In the Advisory Action mailed September 8, 2006, the Examiner indicated that the amendment filed on August 24, 2006 would be entered for purposes of appeal. That amendment completely addressed the issues raised by the Examiner in the final office action. Those arguments are not repeated herein. The Examiner is asked to refer to the August 24, 2006 amendment for those arguments.

With respect to the Examiner's comments contained in the Advisory Action, claim 1 has been amended in a manner to exclude the Internet. In particular, claim 1 recites that processing of the application is carried out based on the server's own broadcasting schedule and is not triggered by a request from one of the broadcasting receivers.

In Hjelsvold, which is an Internet based system, processing and transmission is carried out based on a request from a user. Therefore, processing is not carried out based on a server's own broadcasting schedule, with transmission to multiple broadcasting receivers. In Hjelsvold, a user must first make a request and payment. Only then does the system process the selected video to generate the video selected by the user, and then transmit the video to the user.

In addition, in contrast to the suggestion in the Advisory Action, claim 1 does exclude the Internet. The use of the term "digital broadcasting" in claim 1 specifically excludes the Internet, as evidenced by Applicant's disclosure at page 9, line 16 and page 17, line 14 which indicate that transmission occurs through an antenna, as well as the definition of "digital broadcasting" referenced by Applicant in the prior response.

For at least these reasons, the rejection of claims 1, 2, 5-7 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,546,555 to Hjelsvold should be withdrawn.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

In view of the above, early issuance of a notice of allowance is solicited. Any questions regarding this communication can be directed to the undersigned attorney, Curtis B. Hamre, Reg. No. 29,165 at (612) 455-3802.

Respectfully submitted,

HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER &
LARSON, P.C.
P.O. Box 2902
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0902
(612) 455-3800

Dated: December 13, 2006

By: Curtis B. Hamre
Curtis B. Hamre
Reg. No. 29,165

CBH/jal